

## REMARKS

The last Office Action of March 29, 2003 has been carefully considered.

The Examiner's rejection is confusing because the Official Action indicates that claims 1 to 20 are pending in the application, when in fact, the instant application contains 23 claims. Accordingly, clarification is requested.

With regard to the requirement for restriction which is the only point raised in the Official Action, and in order to fully respond to this Official Action, applicant hereby provisionally elects to prosecute Group II, directed to a parison extrusion device. In addition to claims 13-20, which are directed to the parison extrusion device, claims 21 to 23 should also remain in this application, as claims 21 to 23 are dependent from claim 20.

Applicant also reserves the right to file a divisional application or to take such other appropriate measures as deemed necessary to protect the invention of Group I.

Since applicant has fully and completely responded to the Official Action and has made the required election, this application is now in order for early action on the merits of claims 13-20.

It is noted that each named inventor of the subject matter of the instant application contributed at least to one of the claims, presently on file.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.06-0502.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Henry M. Feiereisen  
Agent for Applicant  
Reg. No. 31,084

Date: July 29, 2004  
350 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 4714  
New York, N.Y. 10118  
(212) 244-5500  
HMF:be